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Test of the exponential decay law at short decay times using tau leptons

The OPAL Collaboration

Abstract

Quantum mechanics predicts an exponential distribution for the decay time of massive particles. However, deviations are expected for decay times shorter than about $10^{-13}$ s in models conjecting the existence of hidden variables. Following a recent proposal, the decay length distribution of 5843 $\tau$ leptons decaying into 3 charged particles was analyzed in search of such a deviation. The deviation from an exponential distribution with respect to the number of decays present within the exponential form, expressed as the relative weight of an excess at zero decay length, was measured to be 1.1% $\pm$ 1.4% $\pm$ 3.5%. This result is consistent with zero deviation and leads to an upper limit of 8.5% and a lower limit of $-6.3\%$ at the 95% confidence level.

Theories exist which suggest that the shape of the decay time distribution of unstable particles is not exponential. For example, the Zeno effect [1], maintains that an unstable particle, when monitored at sufficiently small intervals of time, will live longer than a particle monitored infrequently. However, these effects are usually calculated to be apparent only in the very short or very long decay time regions (e.g. below $10^{-14}$ $\tau_0$ or above $190 \tau_0$ [1], where $\tau_0$ is the lifetime). No deviations from the exponential decay law have been observed experimentally [2] and the decay distribution has always been assumed to be exponential.

Theories of hidden variables have been proposed as an alternative to quantum mechanics. For example, the theory of non-local hidden variables of the Bohm-Bub type [3] suggests that the decay time distribution of massive particles may not be exponential [4]. It states that quantum mechanics is a description of a thermodynamical equilibrium of the system. So, if a state occupying only a partial volume of the phase space is prepared, only measurements which are performed very quickly after its production will exhibit the pre-equilibrium effects. Otherwise, after a characteristic relaxation time (hypothized by Bohm to be $\tau \approx 10^{-13}$ s [3]) the equilibrium forces will fill phase space and yield normal quantum mechanical expectation values for any subsequent measurements. To observe the pre-equilibrium state a double measurement scheme is needed, with a small time interval between the measurements. Bohm suggested an experimental set-up capable of checking whether massive particles are influenced by the Bohm-Bub type of hidden variables, but this set-up has never been realized. Other experiments, such as those mentioned above [2], did...
not include the specific features needed to check for
the existence of hidden variables of the Bohm-Bub
type: two consecutive collapses with the correct time
tune to deviations from quantum mechanics. That is,
three prongs. Only 7 decays occurring very quickly
after the r has been formed are expected to have sensi-
tivity to deviations from quantum mechanics. That is,
deviations from the standard exponential distribution
may occur at short decay times, corresponding to short
decay lengths, though there is no precise prediction
for the magnitude of the effect. An observed deviation
from an exponential in one decay channel would open
the possibility of time dependent branching ratios [4].

Following this proposal, we have analyzed three
prong r decays in search of an excess of decays with
small decay lengths. The r lepton was chosen be-
cause it is an elementary particle, its lifetime is of or-
der 10^{-13} s, its decays can be selected with almost
no background at centre-of-mass energies near the Z^0
resonance and because its decay length to three prongs
can be measured. This analysis is based on data col-
clected in 1992 and 1993 with the OPAL detector at
LEP, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 58
pb^{-1}, collected at centre-of-mass energies near the Z^0
peak. A complete description of the OPAL detector
can be found elsewhere [5]. Of particular importance
for this analysis is the silicon micro-vertex detector,
which provides precise determination of track param-
eters in the r - \phi plane [6].

The selection of \tau pair events and the three-prong
lifetime analysis are described in full in Ref. [7]. The
major points of the lifetime analysis are that candidate
three-prong decays were selected from the \tau pair sam-
ple by requiring that a thrust hemisphere contain ex-
actly three tracks of net charge \pm 1. These three tracks
are fitted to a vertex in the plane transverse to the
beam axis and the three dimensional decay length is
determined using the beam spot and the event thrust
axis. The decay length is corrected to account for the
variation in the average energy of the r lepton at each
centre of mass energy.

Since the analysis is very dependent on both a good
resolution of the decay length measurement and a pre-
cise knowledge of this resolution, some of the cuts are
different from those of the lifetime analysis. Firstly,
the mass of the three-prong candidate is required to
be less than 1.8 GeV. Secondly, in order to only use
events with precise silicon micro-vertex detector in-
formation, |\cos \theta_{\text{cm}}| is required to be less than 0.85.
At least one silicon detector hit per track is required,
and each track is required to have a fit probability >
0.1%. Thirdly, the \chi^2 probability of the vertex fit must
be greater than 5%. Fourthly, the error on the decay
length, typically 1 mm, must be less than 3 mm. Only
three-prong candidates having decay length within the
interval [-15, +25 mm] are considered. This selection
yields 5843 three-prong r decays, which is ap-
proximately 40% of the total number of three-prong
decays. The fraction of low multiplicity multi-hadron
events in the r sample is estimated to be 0.25 \pm 0.25%.
No other contamination is found.

To test for deviations from exponential behaviour,
the decay length distribution is fitted with a likelihood
function equal to the true decay length distribution
smearred with a Gaussian resolution function. Specif-
ically, there are three free fit parameters: L, the av-
erage decay length of the exponential component (in
mm), S, the decay length error scaling factor (for each
track i, \sigma_i, the calculated error on the decay length,
is replaced by S \cdot \sigma_i), and D, the deviation from the
expected exponential, expressed as a fraction of the
total number of such decays present within the expo-
nential form. In a conventional lifetime analysis D is
zero. As all proposed deviation schemes point to the
short decay time region, the decay length deviation,
in the case of enhancement, is taken in the form of a
delta function distribution at zero decay length. As a
further check, a constant enhancement distribution be-
tween 0.0 and 0.5 mm was also examined, giving rise
to similar results (the value of 0.5 mm corresponds to
the 10^{-13} s time scale hypothesized by Bohm). Neg-
ative deviations (D < 0) are treated differently. To
parametrize these deviations we take a form where the
decay probability for events with decay length \ell < \ell_c
is zero, where \ell_c is such that integrating from zero to \ell_c
over the expected exponential form yields D. We also
use a compensating probability normalization proce-
dure for the loss, due to decay length window cuts, of
long lived \tau candidates; for a given set of parameters
L, S and D, where \tau is the expected fraction of events
outside the window, we replace the full-window like-
Table 1
Results of the two and three parameter fits to simulated events for different values of the deviation parameter $D$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simulated $D$</th>
<th>$-4%$</th>
<th>$0$</th>
<th>$+4%$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-parameter fit</td>
<td>$L$ (mm)</td>
<td>$2.37 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$2.30 \pm 0.02$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$S$</td>
<td>$0.937 \pm 0.011$</td>
<td>$0.977 \pm 0.011$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-parameter fit</td>
<td>$L$ (mm)</td>
<td>$2.31 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$2.30 \pm 0.02$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$S$</td>
<td>$0.961 \pm 0.012$</td>
<td>$0.973 \pm 0.011$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$D$ (%)</td>
<td>$-2.6 \pm 0.6$</td>
<td>$+0.4 \pm 0.5$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We have tested our ability to detect a deviation at zero decay length by applying the three-parameter fit to a sample of about 20000 events generated by the full detector Monte Carlo simulation described in [7]. The input lifetime was 303 fs which corresponds to a mean decay length of 2.30 mm. The fit returned the values shown in Table 1.

The results of a 2-parameter fit demonstrate the sensitivity of the lifetime measurements to non-zero values of $D$. The imperfection in the reproduction of $D$ by the three-parameter fit is due to a strong correlation between $D$ and $S$. We have checked the detection power of the fit procedure on real data by adding a sample of zero decay-length fake $\tau$'s to the selected $\tau$ sample. These are three-prong vertices which are selected from multi-hadron events and satisfy the $\tau$ decay selection cuts. The fit to the $\tau$ sample containing these fake $\tau$ vertices returns a $D$ value of $+11.9\% \pm 1.6\%$ which is consistent with the additional zero decay length component of 9.2%. Therefore, we conclude that within the limitations set by systematic and statistical uncertainties, we are able to detect a zero lifetime component.

Several sources of systematic errors have been considered:

- Dependence on accelerator operation mode and event angle: We have examined our results as a function of time periods corresponding to changes in the accelerator operation mode. Because the beam spot in LEP possesses a high aspect ratio, we have also checked our method as a function of the azimuthal orientation of the event thrust axis $\phi_r$, by differentiating between events with $|\cos \phi_r| > 0.707$ and $|\cos \phi_r| < 0.707$. $L$ and $S$ were fixed to their fitted values for the whole sample. The results are shown in Table 2. Computing the variance of the six values and subtracting the contribution of statistical fluctuations, we assign a $\pm 3.2\%$ uncertainty to the value of $D$. This is the dominant systematic error.

- Misevaluation of decay length errors: Contributions to the decay length errors from tracking errors were investigated by changing the tracking errors in a reasonable range and noting the effect on the scale factor. These studies indicate a $5\%$ uncertainty on $S$, corresponding to a $1.2\%$ uncertainty on $D$. This error is consistent with the variations seen in $S$ in the subsamples of Table 2. The sample of zero decay length three-prong vertices described above confirms that the errors on their decay lengths are well described.

- Beam-spot position uncertainties: Monte Carlo simulations show a depletion of the zero decay length region of the decay length distribution when the beam-spot position is mismeasured. One can evaluate the difference between the assigned and the actual beam spot positions by examining the time variations of the multi-hadronic events main vertex position inside a run. The accuracy of the assignment is conservatively estimated to be $50 \pm 50 \mu$m for both horizontal and vertical directions. This corresponds to a depletion in the zero decay length region of $0.5 \pm 0.5\%$, and is used as a correction to
Table 3
Summary of systematic uncertainties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>Uncertainty</th>
<th>Correction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>angular dependence</td>
<td>± 3.2%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uncertainty in S</td>
<td>± 1.2%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beam spot position</td>
<td>± 0.5%</td>
<td>+0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>remaining background</td>
<td>± 0.25%</td>
<td>-0.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td>± 3.5%</td>
<td>0.25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Backgrounds: Residual background from multihadronic events in the three-prong sample is estimated from Monte Carlo studies to be 0.25±0.25%. This is a correction to the final result and a contribution to its systematic uncertainty.

- Effect of cuts: Tightening the vertex $\chi^2$ probability cut and the decay length error cut had a negligible effect on the result, as did widening or shortening the decay length window.

The various sources of systematic uncertainties are summarized in Table 3. It is possible for the result to be biased by the selection cuts. For example, were hidden variables to favour specific regions of phase space, they could also favour specific three-prong opening angles. Since decay length errors are correlated with decay opening angles, any deviation in the zero decay length region could be removed by a cut on this error. We conclude that the formation of bias through the introduction of cuts is possible, but since their evaluation would be uncertain and model dependent, we have not considered such biases when estimating the errors. Another possibility for the introduction of bias is that a deviation formed by hidden variables favouring small decay opening angles (corresponding to large errors on the decay length) would not be detected by the fit since such events are given a smaller weight. So, the limit resulting from this work is only valid if the error distribution of events due to unrelaxed hidden variables is the same as the normal error distribution.

The fit to real data, after applying the above correction, is presented in Table 4. For completeness, although the fit procedure is a maximum likelihood one using the full window $[-15, 25]$ mm, we quote the $\chi^2$ as calculated for the 11 bins in the $[-2.5, 3.0]$ mm decay length window, which is the most sensitive to the signal. The two-parameter fit yields a lifetime of $290.7 \pm 3.9$ fs, in agreement with that presented in [7]. The three-parameter fit is presented in Fig. 1. We show in Fig. 2 the data compared with the predictions of the two-parameter likelihood fit of the lifetime and scale factor, setting the deviation $D$ to 0% (represented by the zero line of the plot), $-3\%$, $+1.1\%$ (result of the three-parameter fit), and $+5\%$.

In conclusion, we have searched for a deviation from exponential behaviour in the zero decay length region of the three-prong decay length distribution of the $\tau$ lepton. The analysis is based on a three-parameter

Table 4
Results of the 2 and 3-parameter fits to the data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$L$ (mm)</th>
<th>$S$</th>
<th>$D$ (%)</th>
<th>$\chi^2$ per degree of freedom</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-parameter fit</td>
<td>2.219 ± 0.030</td>
<td>0.952 ± 0.020</td>
<td>6.9/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-parameter fit</td>
<td>2.236 ± 0.039</td>
<td>0.944 ± 0.022</td>
<td>5.7/8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 2. Residual distributions. The points with error bars represent the residual difference (data - model) between the data and the two-parameter curve of the fitted value of $L$ and $S$ with the deviation parameter $D$ set to 0. The lines represent the residual differences with respect to zero deviation expected for various values of the deviation parameter $D$: -3% (dot-dashed line), +1.1% (result of the fit, dashed line), and +5% (dotted line).

fit, where the third free parameter is a measure of the excess or depletion of events in the zero decay length region. An analysis of 5843 $\tau \to$ three-prong decays results in a deviation from an exponential distribution which is consistent with zero. It is expressed as the relative weight of the excess at zero decay length with respect to the number of decays present within the exponential form, and is measured to be $1.1\% \pm 1.4\% \pm 3.5\%$. This leads to an upper limit of 8.5% and a lower limit of $-6.3\%$ at the 95% confidence level.
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