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Pathophysiology of impaired hand function in children with unilateral cerebral palsy

ANDREW M GORDON1 | YANNICK BLEYENHEUFT2 | BERT STEENBERGEN3

Cerebral palsy (CP) is among the most common pediatric neurological disorders, and is caused by damage to the brain during early development. Unilateral spastic CP, characterized by motor impairments mainly lateralized to one body side, is among the most common subtypes. Here we review the pathophysiology and mechanisms underlying impaired upper extremity function of unilateral spastic CP. We also relate the specific pathophysiology with the hand impairments, showing clear relations between the type and extent of early brain damage and/or reorganization with impairment severity. Finally we discuss clinical implications, including possible pathophysiological predictors of treatment outcome.

NEURAL BASIS OF UNILATERAL SPASTIC CP

During typical human development, corticospinal tract (CST) motor pathways from motor areas, notably primary motor cortex, develop in a corticofugal manner, approaching the spinal cord by the 20th week of gestation. Subsequently these projections undergo synaptogenesis, with target cells at the spinal-segmental level. The motor cortices initially develop bilateral projections undergoing synaptogenesis, with target cells at the spinal-segmental level. The motor cortices initially develop bilateral projections, showing clear relations between the type and extent of early brain damage and/or reorganization with impairment severity. Finally we discuss clinical implications, including possible pathophysiological predictors of treatment outcome.

Unilateral spastic cerebral palsy, caused by damage to the developing central nervous system, is characterized by motor impairments mainly lateralized to one side of the body, with hand impairments greatly contributing to functional limitations. The integrity of the motor areas and the corticospinal tract (CST) is often compromised. The specific etiology may drastically influence subsequent development of CST pathways. Here we describe the pathophysiology underlying impaired upper extremity function, with particular emphasis on the relation between CST damage and hand function. We also describe the resulting sensory and motor deficits, with an emphasis on studies of precision grip, which highlight impairments in motor execution, sensorimotor integration, motor planning, and bimanual coordination beyond dexterity impairments. We show that the type and extent of early brain damage and/or CST reorganization is highly predictive of the severity of these impairments. We discuss the clinical implications of these findings, including the intriguing possibility that the specific pathophysiology is predictive of treatment outcomes. We suggest that a 'one-treatment fits all approach' may be insufficient, and that future rehabilitation efforts will be best guided by closely relating treatment efficacy with the specific pathophysiology.
organization have more severely affected hand function (fewer blocks manipulated, Fig. 1c)\textsuperscript{10} and the persistence of mirror movements. However, that is not to say that this reorganization is dysfunctional; instead, it probably represents functional compensation of the affected hemisphere by the unaffected hemisphere. The extent to which this ipsilateral reorganization is able to compensate for the absent contralateral projects decreases with increasing age at the time of damage.\textsuperscript{6} It should also be pointed out that CST projections to spinal interneurons, which are also important for transmitting signals from cortex to muscle, are also impaired, further affecting coordination and reflex control.\textsuperscript{11}

**MOVEMENT EXECUTION IMPAIRMENTS**

As a result of the damage to the CST and other developing motor pathways described above, there are impairments in movement execution by the upper extremity. For example, the upper extremity is often weak, and the lack of selective finger movements results in the use of several fingers when fewer are required (e.g., precision grip), making movements slow and clumsy.\textsuperscript{12} The relation between type/timing of the lesion and such execution deficits is described above.

Hand motor control has been quantified for more than two decades by the examination of fingertip forces during precision grasping. Fingertip coordination in typically developing children generally approximates adult coordination by 6 to 8 years of age.\textsuperscript{13} In contrast, children with CP at this age often have force coordination resembling that of very young children, with prolonged delays between movement phases (e.g., grasp contact and subsequent load force initiation) and sequential generation of grip and load force.\textsuperscript{14} Although most children with CP are capable of adjusting their fingertip forces to the object’s weight and texture, their forces are often variable and excessive, with reduced adaptation compared with typically developing children.\textsuperscript{15} Fingertip force coordination is also impaired during object release,\textsuperscript{16} which is exacerbated when speed and accuracy constraints are imposed.\textsuperscript{17} Precision grip in children with CP does improve with development\textsuperscript{18} and extended practice,\textsuperscript{19} which has helped motivate intensive rehabilitation protocols (e.g., constraint-induced movement therapy).\textsuperscript{20,21}

**SENSORIMOTOR IMPAIRMENTS**

Because thalamocortical somatosensory projections reach their cortical destination sites during the third trimester, typically they are undamaged by periventricular lesions, or they may circumvent the lesion to terminate in the postcentral gyrus.\textsuperscript{1} In contrast, middle cerebral artery infarctions, occurring later and often affecting the postcentral gyrus, are more likely to affect the somatosensory system.\textsuperscript{1} Thus, children with unilateral spastic CP, especially of middle cerebral artery origin, often have sensory impairments, which may further compromise fine motor skills.\textsuperscript{12,22} Specifically, tactile perception (light touch) and discrimination, stereognosis, and proprioception are often impaired,\textsuperscript{23,24} with the amount of impairment related to the integrity of ascending sensorimotor pathways.\textsuperscript{8} These sensory impairments may be at least partly responsible for the precision grip impairments found in CP\textsuperscript{25} as the latter impairments resemble precision grip control under digital anesthesia.\textsuperscript{25} However, the relation between sensory and motor abilities is not trenchant. A relation has been established between stereognosis and motor function,\textsuperscript{26} but conflicting results are reported for other modalities.

**MOTOR PLANNING IMPAIRMENTS**

In addition to movement execution and sensory impairments, individuals with unilateral spastic CP have impairments in motor planning,\textsuperscript{26} which can also impact precision grasping. During object manipulation, the development of fingertip forces must be

---

**What this paper adds**

- Type and extent of early brain damage and/or CST reorganization is highly predictive of the severity of these upper extremity impairments.
- Future rehabilitation efforts will be best guided by closely relating treatment efficacy with the specific pathophysiology.
planned before initiation because sensory information about some object properties (e.g. weight) is not immediately available. This type of planning involves the formation and use of internal models of objects based on previous experience manipulating a given object. Children with unilateral spastic CP have a decreased ability to scale the amplitude of the force development in their more-affected hand. However, impairments in force development scaling are reduced after extensive practice, providing the basis for intensive rehabilitation protocols. The observed planning deficits may at least partly reflect deficits in motor learning, involving the extraction of appropriate sensory information to form internal models, and integrating it with motor commands during subsequent actions. However, this does not mean that all planning deficits can be ameliorated with practice. One dynamic action in which children with unilateral spastic CP perform routinely yet have deficits is walking with a hand-held object, which requires precise coupling of fingertip forces in an anticipatory manner. Because the inertial forces acting on the hand-held object oscillate in a sinusoidal manner owing to gait-related events (e.g. initial foot contact), the grip force must be actively timed and modulated to the resulting inertial force oscillations. This force coupling reduces the need to maintain high grasping forces (risking fatigue) by ensuring that the grip force is sufficient at critical points (initial foot contact) to prevent slips, as seen in typically developed adults. Figure 2 shows the fluctuations in inertial force as a result of gait and the grip force responses for a child with unilateral spastic CP. A coupling of the inertial and grip forces can be seen in the less-affected hand, where both forces fluctuate in parallel. In contrast, the fluctuations of the grip force in the more affected hand appear to be unrelated to the gait-induced inertial force fluctuations (Fig. 2).

Children with unilateral spastic CP usually demonstrate ‘global planning’ impairments that are independent of the effector used. The relation between type/timing of the lesion and such planning deficits is unknown. Oddly, the force scaling deficits during object lifting are effector dependent: a lack of planning is observed in the more-affected, but not less-affected, upper extremity. A similar finding during load force perturbations was reported, with an inability to anticipate the consequences of a dynamic perturbation. Interestingly, after several lifts with the less-affected hand, anticipatory planning, reflected by force rates that appropriately reflect the object’s weight, is immediately present in the more-affected hand. In a subsequent study, we found that, despite its absence in more-affected hand, anticipatory control may be transferred from the more-affected to the less-affected hand. Thus children with unilateral spastic CP achieve sufficient sensory information to form internal models for subsequent use with their less-affected hand. This suggests that the impaired planning may be due to an inability to integrate sensory information with the motor command in the more-affected hand. We also found that simultaneous grasp and lift of an object in each hand improved some aspects of grip performance compared with unilateral lifts with the more-affected hand, although the grip–lift movements became slower, probably because of the requirement to divide attention between the two hands. These findings helped motivate bimanual training approaches (e.g. hand–arm bimanual intensive therapy).

### BIMANUAL COORDINATION IMPAIRMENTS

Children with unilateral spastic CP have impairments in bimanual coordination beyond unimanual dexterity deficits. Similar to motor planning, the relation between type/timing of the lesion and such bimanual coordination impairments is unknown. During symmetrical, bimanual reaching tasks, children with unilateral spastic CP showed the ability to coordinate their bimanual movements by compensating with their non-involved hand as long as accuracy demands or task complexity were not increased. In a recent study, participants were instructed to hold a grip device in each hand and place one device on top of the other while the grip and load force were recorded simultaneously in both hands (Fig. 3a). Children with CP initiated the task by decreasing grip force in the releasing hand before increasing the force in the holding hand during the preparation phase, with the subsequent grip force increase in the holding hand being smaller and occurring later (transition phase) than that of typically developing children (Fig. 3a). The impairments were unrelated to the presence of mirror movements. The impairment was greater when the less-affected hand served as the holding hand.

In another series of studies, participants were asked to open a drawer with one hand and manipulate its contents with the other hand. Children with unilateral spastic CP were less coordinated, with reduced movement overlap of the drawer-opening hand (solid trace) and manipulating hand (dashed trace) (ii–v) and sequential completion of opening the drawer and manipulating its contents (v, vi) (Fig. 3b). Interestingly, bimanual training improved some aspects of this coordination more than constraint-induced movement therapy.

### CONCLUSION

Overall, we have described the pathophysiology underlying impaired upper extremity function of unilateral spastic CP, with particular emphasis on the relation between CST damage and
hand function. We have described the resulting sensory and motor deficits, with an emphasis on studies of precision grip. These studies show impairments in (1) motor execution; (2) sensorimotor integration; (3) motor planning; and (4) bimanual coordination beyond dexterity impairments. Knowledge about the various forms of unilateral spastic CP is still rather limited. The type/timing of the lesion is fairly predictive of motor execution and sensorimotor integration impairments, although the relation between lesions and motor planning and bimanual coordination is much less understood.

These findings have several clinical implications. First, the finding that precision grip improves only with extensive practice emphasizes the importance of intensity of training. In agreement, training protocols that provide such intensity (such as constraint-induced movement therapy and intensive bimanual training) appear to be efficacious in improving hand function, whereas little...

Figure 3: (a) Schematic diagram of the experimental set-up. Modified from Islam et al. (b, c) Schematic force trajectories showing temporal and force parameters while performing the bimanual task for (b) typically developing participants and (c) participants with cerebral palsy (CP). The vertical lines define different time events in the preparation and transition phases in milliseconds. GF, grip force starts to decrease; GF', grip force starts to increase; GFMAX, grip force maximum; GFZERO, grip force zero; LF, load force increase; HH-PT, holding hand preparation time; RH-PT, releasing hand preparation time; N, newtons. (d) Tangential velocity kinematic traces of a typically developing child and child with unilateral spastic CP. Top, comparison participant using dominant hand to open drawer at self-pace. Bottom, a child with unilateral spastic CP using non-involved hand to open drawer at self-pace. (i) Movement onset of drawer hand. (ii) Movement onset of task hand. (v) Movement offset of drawer hand when drawer is completely opened. (vi) Movement offset of task hand. (v–vi) Movement overlap time for two hands. (v–vi) Duration of goal synchronization. Modified from Hung et al. 
 evidence exists for treatments provided at usual and customary care schedules. Second, the potential interference of the more-affected hand on the less-affected hand during bimanual activities may partly explain why children with unilateral spastic CP prefer to use only one hand during tasks typically using both hands. Findings that environmental constraints affect performance suggest the importance of context, and that environmental constraints could be used in rehabilitation to create variability of practice. Finally, improved performance during simultaneous or sequential (transfer) bimanual actions (e.g., kinematic mirroring) emphasizes the potential contribution that the less-affected hand could make to rehabilitation of the more-affected hand.

Although the CST damage is highly predictive of severity of hand impairments, an intriguing possibility is that the specific pathophysiology is predictive of treatment outcomes. For example, it has been suggested that individuals who have undergone reorganization of the CST fare worse after constraint-induced movement therapy than individuals who maintain contralateral CST innervation. This raises the possibility that there is an interaction between the connectivity and integrity of the CST and the efficacy of different training approaches for the upper extremities. Constraining the less-affected upper extremity may drive decrease activity in the unaffected primary motor cortex and thus decrease the consequent interhemispheric inhibition of that hemisphere over the affected primary motor cortex. This may be suitable for children maintaining contralateral CST innervation; however, in children with ipsilateral CST reorganization, constraining the less-affected upper extremity may drive down primary motor cortex activity controlling both upper extremities, possibly impeding recovery. One could speculate that bimanual training may be a better approach for children with ipsilateral CST innervation, but the efficacy may depend on the integrity of interhemispheric connections. Thus a ‘one-treatment fits all approach’ may not be sufficient. We speculate that future rehabilitation efforts will be best guided by testing these possibilities and closely relating treatment efficacy with specific pathophysiology on an individual-by-individual basis.
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