Si-DOPING OF MOCVD GaAs: CLOSER ANALYSIS OF THE INCORPORATION PROCESS
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Doping studies have been carried out as a function of silane input fraction over the entire dopant range of silicon in GaAs. In the lower dopant region where the incorporation varies linearly with the silane input mole fraction, the compensation ratio $N_A^+/N_D^-$ appears to have a constant value of 0.3. In this region the incorporation also is orientation dependent. For SiH$_4$ input mole fractions higher than $1 \times 10^{-6}$ the electron concentration does not change noticeably, however the compensation ratio rises from 0.3 to 0.7. Simultaneously precipitates are observed in the grown layer. From gas phase equilibrium calculations such silicon precipitation is predicted to take place at these higher input pressures of SiH$_4$. As regards the incorporation rate of Si itself, analysis of the doping results reveals that, when corrections are made for differences in GaAs growth rate and small differences in growth temperature, a constant deposition of silicon along the MOCVD reactor is obtained. The absence of depletion effects and the observed temperature dependence imply that the limiting step for the Si incorporation is the decomposition of the SiH$_4$ which happens very close to the hot surface of the GaAs substrate.

I. Introduction

Metalorganic chemical vapour deposition (MOCVD) now has become a standard and versatile technique with a proved capability to grow many sophisticated structures such as superlattices, lasers and tandem solar cells. For most device applications, a good control of the doping process is necessary, including control of doping level, uniformity and doping profile. Up to now, no single dopant seems to be able to fulfill all the requirements such as wide doping range, easy control of uniformity, easy to handle, negligible memory effect, low diffusion coefficient, and so on. As an n-type dopant, silicon is widely used for GaAs. For a long time, silane (SiH$_4$) has been used as the doping source. One of the dominant problems inherent with this dopant is a strong temperature dependence which makes uniform doping difficult for reactors where the temperature differs over the susceptor. When silane is replaced by disilane, the incorporation process appeared to be independent of growth temperature [1,2]. However, recent analysis has revealed that actually disilane should make no difference when compared with silane, except when lower pressures are used or when the flow and temperature profiles of the incoming gas are undeveloped [3,4]. Another well recognized effect is the compensation which can occur with silicon. In literature, for low and moderate Si concentrations, this problem is more or less ignored and it is assumed that silicon is incorporated as a donor [5,6]. In the present report, the compensation effect is studied over the entire dopant range. Another subject
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which has not received much attention is the formation of Si precipitates in the GaAs lattice which occurs at the highest doping levels. This effect has been studied using interference-contrast microscopy, photoluminescence and transmission electron microscopy (TEM), assisted by thermodynamic calculations.

In our long horizontal MOCVD reactor, which enables us to grow with well established flow and temperature profiles, it should in principle also be possible to reveal more about the details of the Si incorporation. Therefore the study is elaborated by examining the Si incorporation on various crystallographic orientations.

2. Experimental

The doping experiments were carried out at atmospheric pressure using trimethylgallium (TMG) and arsine (AsH₃) as source materials while 100 ppm silane (SiH₄) in H₂ was employed as dopant source. A horizontal reactor is used with a rectangular cross-section. The reactor is resistance heated at the bottom and water cooled on the top so that a known temperature profile is established. A long entrance length is used to ensure stabilization of both temperature and velocity profiles of the incoming gas mixture (for details, see ref. [7]). The arsine was passed through a molecular sieve before mixing with the carrier gas (H₂) in order to minimize the moisture level [8]. The ratio between AsH₃ and TMG (V/I ratio) was 20. The growth temperature was measured at the GaAs substrate surface with a calibrated optical pyrometer. It was kept constant at 700 ± 5°C for all the present MOCVD experiments except during the temperature series which was used to determine the activation energy of the Si incorporation. The GaAs substrates obtained from MCP (UK) were Cr-doped semi-insulating, horizontal Bridgman grown, and chemomechanically polished on one face. Typical etch pit densities were below 5000/cm². The substrate orientations were (100)2° off towards (110), (110), (111)Ga, (111)As, (100)4° off towards (110), and (100). The (100)2°(110) substrate is widely used because it is known to give the best morphology.

Also in our experiments this orientation was mainly used. The other orientations (110), (111)Ga, and (111)As have been employed in order to study the orientation dependence of the Si incorporation process. However it appeared that under our experimental conditions, the growth on the exact (111)As substrate always resulted in a rough surface with correspondingly unreliable Hall mobilities. Therefore these results had to be discarded. The orientations (100)4°(110) and (100) specifically have been used for studying the Si precipitation.

The morphology after growth was observed with an interference-contrast microscope. The thickness of the grown layer was measured by cleaving and staining. The electrical characterization was performed by Van der Pauw–Hall measurements using a clover-leaf configuration. Photoluminescence measurements were done at 5 K with an Ar⁺ laser (514.5 nm) and a double-monochromator fitted with an Si photomultiplier. TEM measurements were performed to examine the precipitates in the epitaxial layers. All TEM samples have been thinned by mechanical polishing followed by ion beam milling using Ar⁺ ions. The samples were studied in a Philips EM400T TEM operating at 120 kV.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Electrical evaluations

In fig. 1 the net carrier concentrations \(n(\text{N}_p^- - \text{N}_n^+\) as a function of the input mole fraction of SiH₄ are given for three substrate orientations, viz. (100)2°(110), and the exact orientations (111)Ga and the (110). Below a silane mole fraction of \(10^{-6}\), a linear relationship is found for all three substrate orientations. Above this value saturation of the carrier concentration occurs. This is in accordance with literature [9–11]. As is evident from fig. 1 the difference in incorporation on the various substrate orientations is especially clear in the lower dopant regions. The efficiency of the incorporation increases in the order (100)2°(110) > (111)Ga > (110). This is in agreement with the results of Veuhoff et al. [2] on the Si-doping
The linear relation between carrier concentration and the silane input concentration, as observed in the lower dopant region, points to a first order reaction as the rate limiting step. The most likely process is subsurface trapping of the incorporated dopant, thereby breaking the exchange between adsorbed dopant atoms and neutral donors in the solid. This will occur whenever the vertical growth rate \( G \) is faster than the diffusing rate of subsurface \( \text{Si}_{\text{Ga}} \) to the surface \( D \), the actual condition being \( G > D/b \), where \( b \) is of the order of the lattice constant. This automatically means that the ionization of the dopant cannot reflect itself in the slope of the curve. It must be remembered that when a full equilibrium for the dopant system is present, a slope 1/2 in the figure would be expected. Instead of subsurface trapping, trapping of the adsorbed dopant atoms by the moving steps can be the limiting factor. That step trapping at least partially is active is illustrated in fig. 2 where a comparison is given of the (100) surface two-dimensional nucleation is possible, which will introduce extra steps on the surface, we can still assume that the number of steps will increase with an increase in the degree of misorientation. When the growth rate is the same for all these three orientations,

![Fig. 1. Net carrier concentration \( n = N_D^+ - N_A^- \) versus the input mole fraction of silane for three different substrate orientations. \( T_G = 700^\circ C, V/Ill = 20. \)](image1)

![Fig. 2. Net carrier concentration \( n = N_D^+ - N_A^- \) versus the degree of misorientation of the (100) surface towards (110). \( T_G = 700^\circ C, V/Ill = 20. \)](image2)
which is found experimentally, the step velocity should decrease with an increase in misorientation. As a consequence the effect of step trapping will be more effective for samples that are less misoriented, as is observed (fig. 2). It must be remarked here that also the total amount of incorporated silicon (i.e. $\text{Si}_{\text{Ga}} + \text{Si}_{\text{As}}$) shows the same dependence on misorientation so that the effect as given in fig. 2 is not influenced by a possible change in compensation.

The room temperature Hall mobilities as a function of carrier concentration are given in fig. 3. No difference is found between the layers grown on the (100)$_{2}^{\circ}$ (110) and (111)Ga orientations. Above carrier concentrations of $3 \times 10^{18} \text{cm}^{-3}$, the mobility values clearly diverge however from the theoretical $N_{\text{A}}^- / N_{\text{D}}^+$ = 0.3 curve. The layers grown on the (110) orientation have overall lower mobility values as compared with the layers grown on the other two orientations.

Because Si is a group IV element, a Si atom may be incorporated at a Ga site which gives rise to a donor, or be incorporated at an As site which gives rise to an acceptor, so that this amphoteric behaviour leads to compensation. The compensation ratio which is defined as $N_{\text{A}}^- / N_{\text{D}}^+$ can be calculated from the measurements of carrier concentration and mobility [16,17]. It should be pointed out that the calculations in refs. [16,17] are based on dilute solid solutions so that our calculated values above a carrier concentration of $3 \times 10^{18} \text{cm}^{-3}$ should be treated with some care. The calculated results on $N_{\text{A}}^- / N_{\text{D}}^+$ are given in fig. 4. For SiH$_4$ mole fractions below $3 \times 10^{-7}$, the compensation ratio has a nearly constant value of 0.3 for the (100)$_{2}^{\circ}$ (110) and (111)Ga orientations. For the (110) orientation, however, the compensation ratio starts at a value 0.6 for low $P_{\text{SiH}_4}$, decreasing to the value 0.3 for $P_{\text{SiH}_4} \approx 3 \times 10^{-7}$. The rather high overall compensation is in contrast with other reports where the compensation ratio is found to be very low [18] or assumed to be zero [5,6]. We have checked whether the high $\text{Si}_{\text{As}}$ concentration could be due to a low effective $V/$III ratio during growth. This possibility exists in our reactor, because, despite the high $V/$III ratio used in our experiments, during growth an arsenic deposit is formed at the cooled top wall of the reactor thereby lowering the effective $V/$III ratio. Removing of the top cooling, whereby also the arsenic deposition was avoided, resulted in the same compensation ratios, however.

For carrier concentrations larger than $3 \times 10^{18} \text{cm}^{-3}$ ($P_{\text{SiH}_4} > 10^{-6}$) all compensation ratios rise from the value 0.3 to 0.7 at $P_{\text{SiH}_4} = 6 \times 10^{-6}$. This means that at higher silicon concentrations more Si atoms are incorporated at As-sites.

The relatively high compensation of 0.3 found in this study in the lower dopant regions may be due to a difference in growth conditions. We are growing layers in the region where the gas flow and the temperature profiles are fully developed and stabilized, whereas most experiments which
are mentioned in literature have been performed with a very short susceptor which is placed in the region where both gas flow and temperature profiles still have to develop. The consequences are that in our reactor surface equilibrium processes can get a chance to adjust, this in contrary to the short cells where kinetics will prevail. The existence of silicon on As-sites could be proved by the presence of a Si$_{As}$ peak in photoluminescence spectra. Our PL spectra clearly reveal a (e, A$^0$) transition, in which Si$_{As}$ as an acceptor is involved, when $n$ is larger than $2 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$ (fig. 5). Below this value the Si$_{As}$ peak may still be present, but is difficult to resolve due to the overlap with a C$_{As}$ peak. The fact that Si acts as an acceptor in GaAs is not a new phenomenon. Under LPE conditions, it is known that even p-type GaAs can be obtained by adding Si to the Ga melt [19]. Also under MBE conditions, p-type GaAs can be grown on certain substrate orientations [13,14]. A detailed analysis of the compensation effect based on a step incorporation mechanism will be given in a separate paper [20].

The total carrier concentration ($N_D^+ + N_A^-$) can be calculated based on the net carrier concentration and the mobility values. The calculated $N_D^+ + N_A^-$ value is equal to the total number of incorporated Si atoms if the Si atoms are exclusively incorporated at lattice sites and completely ionized. This is not true when certain Si complexes, e.g. [Si$_{Ga}$-V$_{Ga}$], are formed as is characterized by infrared local vibration mode (LVM) absorption [21]. However this [Si$_{Ga}$-V$_{Ga}$] complex was not observed for a sample doped up to $1 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$ so that our assumption is quite probable. In fig. 6 the $N_D^+ + N_A^-$ values are given versus the input of silane for the three orientations. They again show the earlier observed systematic trend (100)$_2$°(110) > (111)Ga > (110), despite the difference in mobilities found for these orientations. The GaAs growth rate was found to be constant for all the orientations studied, so that its influence on the Si incorporation can be excluded.

The difference in the total amount of Si found in various substrate orientations must originate from processes such as diffusion in the gas phase, adsorption–desorption over the surface, and diffusion of the adsorbed species on the surface. The selective incorporation of Si on an arsenic or a gallium position only can take place during the incorporation at a step or a kink site. Incorporation means that double or triple bonds are formed so that desorption is unlikely once the silicon atom is arrived at the step or kink site. Because all orientations receive the same silicon flux in the form of SiH$_2$ radicals (viz. those radicals which are produced in the chemical boundary layer within its diffusion length from the substrate [3]), all surfaces in principle could have the same coverage of silicon species. The differences in incorporation rates in our experiments, indicate that...
the difference in the sticking coefficient or the
difference in partial desorption must play a role.
In other words, a partial equilibrium must be
present at these surfaces. The origin for the dif-
ference in adsorption--desorption in principle can
be found in the absolute value for the bond
strength for the SiH$_2$ radical with the adsorption
sites on the (100), (111)Ga and (110) surfaces.
Under MOCVD conditions the (100) surface is
arsenic stabilized, where all arsenic atoms form
dimers with each other in a $\sigma-\pi$ configuration. No
electronic AsH$_3$ adsorption will be possible on this
arsenic stabilized surface. Adsorption of the elec-
tronically incomplete shell species SiH$_2$ on an
arsenic atom is favoured, however, because the
electron shell becomes completely filled in this
process. The (111)Ga surface, on the other hand,
is electronically poor because only single dangling
bonds are present on the surface. The arsenic-rich
conditions now do not lead to an arsenic stabi-
lized surface, but only result in adsorption of
AsH$_3$. So the great difference with the (100) surface
is that the AsH$_3$ molecules on the (111)Ga surface
do not form dimers, i.e. do not form an intrinsic part
of the lattice. Adsorption of SiH$_2$ on the
(111)Ga surface will occur at the gallium sites
which are left free. Because of the electron de-
iciency of the Ga atoms, an incompletely filled
adsorption bond will be formed between SiH$_2$ and
Ga. Because of the electron deficiency, combined
with the electropositive character of Ga with re-
spect to arsenic, the Si--Ga bond strength will be
smaller than the Si--As bond strength. The conse-
quency is that desorption of SiH$_2$ on a (111)Ga
surface is somewhat larger than on a (100) surface
in the As-stabilized configuration. Because the
step incorporation chances for both surfaces are
alike, as well as the diffusion possibilities over the
surface, the total incorporation rate is mainly de-
termined by the silicon coverage on these surfaces.
One can say that these adsorbed silicon species
form the supply source to the steps. The conse-
quency is that the silicon incorporation rate on
(100) is larger than on (111)Ga. This will be
discussed in more detail in a forthcoming paper
[20].

The still smaller incorporation rate on (110) has
to be explained by the zipper-like growth along

---

**Fig. 7. Side-view of a (110) surface showing the [001] steps and a kink site. The periodic bond chains are lying along the channels in the [110] direction.**

the channels in the [110] directions, where the
periodic bond chains are lying [22,23] (fig. 7). Not
only steric hindrance now plays an important role
as to what diminishes the sticking coefficient, but
also the difference in diffusion behaviour which is
almost only restricted to a linear motion along the
channel line.

The above discussion, although difficult to
quantify, gives the trend for the incorporation of
Si on the various orientations, viz. (100) > (111)Ga
> (110), as is found experimentally.

### 3.2. Silicon precipitation

For carrier concentrations higher than $3 \times 10^{18}$
cm$^{-3}$ saturation occurs for all the substrate orien-
tations studied (fig. 1). The saturation point corre-
sponds to a silane input mole fraction of about
$1 \times 10^{-6}$.

When the silicon concentration in GaAs is in-
creased, the solubility limit of Si in GaAs can be
exceeded. The solubility of Si in solid GaAs has
been determined to reach a maximum of 4 at% ($\sim 1.6 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-3}$) at the eutectic temperature of
1130°C [24]. However more precise data which
cover the lower temperature region used for
MOCVD (550–750°C) are lacking so that no
prediction can be given when precipitation will
occur at these temperatures.

One can proceed in another way by calculating
the chemical composition of the Si–Ga–As–H–C
system using the existing data base of chemical
equilibrium constants. For the growth temperature
of 1000 K of GaAs by MOCVD, equilibrium
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The given temperature together with low growth rates. When the growth temperature is low enough, as in MBE systems, the individual silicon atoms may simply be trapped in the grown layers and never will have a chance to come to equilibrium because of the small diffusion coefficient in the bulk. Such a system will be supersaturated and will show a large domain of electrical activity as indeed has been observed [26,27]. In MOCVD the temperatures are higher and consequently the silicon atoms will have more chance to meet each other during the growth process. This promotes clustering and consequently a smaller electric active region is to be expected. This also is found experimentally.

The Si nucleation has been confirmed indirectly by photo-luminescence (PL) experiments. By looking at the band to band recombination peak in the PL spectra, it is found that when the carrier concentration is above a certain value, which in our case is approximately $1 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$, the peak position starts to shift to higher energies (fig. 9). This process is caused by the filling of the conduction band [28]. The peak energy keeps increasing when more Si is incorporated at lattice sites. This result is also found by Druminski et al. [6]. An interesting point from their study is that when the total Si concentration is higher than $1 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$, the PL peak position is fixed. We were unable to confirm these results because the samples with the highest Si content showed no photoluminescence signal at all. The results of Druminski et al. show that the excess Si atoms are no longer electrically active as donors or acceptors. This phenomenon has been explained by the formation of precipi-

![Fig. 8. Gas phase partial pressures of silicon compounds as a function of the input mole fraction of silane as calculated from thermodynamic equilibrium constants. The system contains TMG, AsH$_3$, and SiH$_4$ in H$_2$ at 1 atm total pressure and at a temperature of 1000 K. All the non-silicon containing species have been omitted in this figure. The horizontal parts in the curves are due to the formation of solid silicon which fixes the pressures.](image)

Calculations have been performed as a function of the input mole fraction of silane [25].

For input values of SiH$_4$ larger than $1 \times 10^{-6}$ thermodynamics predicts the formation of solid silicon (fig. 8). Above this value the partial pressures of all gaseous silicon species are fixed, because all the additional silicon which is introduced into the system will be transferred into solid silicon.

The theoretically calculated value of input silane mole fraction of $1 \times 10^{-6}$ where silicon nucleation will occur, favourable coincides with the observed tendency for electrical saturation (fig. 1). It must be understood that differences will exist between the incorporation behaviour, which is a kinetic process, and the theoretically predicted equilibrium situation. When precipitation is predicted, it will only occur in practice when the silicon atoms in the bulk of the crystal have time enough to find each other (larger diffusion coefficients at the given temperature together with low growth rates).
tates of Si or some other kind of non electrically active complex. Up to now no direct proof has been given of precipitate formation of Si in MOCVD GaAs.

There are some additional techniques which might be able to give information on precipitate formation, viz. SIMS, wet chemical etching, morphological study of the epitaxial layers and TEM. The SIMS technique is only suited to measure the total Si concentration, it can not discriminate whether the Si atoms are incorporated at the Ga or As lattice sites or incorporated as precipitates. Also the wet chemical etching technique DSL (defect selective etching with light) which has proved to be so powerful for defect revealing [29], fails, because at these high carrier concentrations the etch rate under illumination is simply zero, probably due to the high recombination rate of the created hole-electron pairs. Observation of the surface morphology in principle should give valuable results. In order to study this more precisely, an additional series of samples has been grown with SiH$_4$ input mole fractions around the critical value $1 \times 10^{-6}$, viz. $6.2 \times 10^{-6}, 6.3 \times 10^{-7}$ and $5.8 \times 10^{-6}$, respectively. The GaAs substrates used had three different orientations, (100), (100)$2^\circ$(110) and (100)$4^\circ$(110). The morphology appears to be similar for all the samples grown with the two lower Si input concentrations. For the highest Si input concentration, the morphology of the exact (100) samples show a difference as compared with the misoriented (100) samples (fig. 10). The surfaces in figs. 10a and 10b are flat, fig. 10c shows a wavy like surface consisting of macrosteps. In our opinion, the observed macrostep formation has to be explained as a result of step blocking by precipitates or clusters of adsorbed impurities.

Crystal growth is taking place by step propagation on the surface. The steps or the kink sites along a step provide favourite places for species to incorporate. According to the theory of Cabrera and Vermilyea [30], a step which moves along an atomically smooth terrace will be stopped by a pair of impurities that are less than $2\rho_c$ apart from each other ($\rho_c$ is the critical radius) and will squeeze itself between pairs of impurities when they are more than $2\rho_c$ apart. When a step is stopped by impurities, a macrostep has to be formed to override the impurities. This is true for immobile impurities. In case the adsorbed impurity atoms are still mobile and can diffuse over the surface, the moving step is able to drive the impurity atoms in front of the step. The larger the terrace is – as is the case for exact (100) – the higher the impurity density can become. Because of this condensation effect the critical distance between two impurities will be reached first at the

Fig. 10. Surface morphologies of epitaxial layers as obtained by interference microscopy for three (100) (mis-)oriented samples. The silane input mole fraction in each case was $5.8 \times 10^{-6}$. (a) (100)$4^\circ$ off towards (110), (b) (100)$2^\circ$ off towards (110), (c) exact (100). At lower silane input values all surfaces are smooth. Marker represents 50 $\mu$m.
Fig. 11. TEM micrographs of two Si-doped samples: (a) silane mole fraction $6.2 \times 10^{-6}$ and (b) silane mole fraction $5.8 \times 10^{-6}$. The small granular contrast in the background is caused by surface damage due to ion-beam thinning.

exact orientation. This explains the difference in morphology between exact and misoriented (100) samples. The phenomenon of macrostep formation is often observed in solution grown crystals [31].

The most direct proof of the presence of precipitates has come from our TEM studies of these samples. Defects indeed have been observed in the samples grown with the highest silane input (fig. 11). These defects are identified as dislocation loops, interpreted as interstitial dislocation loops due to the Si-doping. Similar observations have been reported in studies of heavily Si-doped Bridgman-grown GaAs [32] and annealed Si-implanted GaAs [33]. The dislocation loop densities have been measured to be approximately $3 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-3}$.

From the above we conclude that indeed precipitates are formed which cause the formation of macrosteps as well as the observed dislocation loops by TEM. It proves that Si nucleation starts between a SiH$_4$ input mole fraction of $6.3 \times 10^{-7}$ and $5.8 \times 10^{-6}$. This is consistent with the thermodynamic analysis which predicts a critical SiH$_4$ input mole fraction of $1 \times 10^{-6}$ for the formation of solid silicon. As these silicon precipitates will not contribute to the free carriers, the formation of precipitates consequently will decrease the electron mobility as is shown in fig. 3.

3.3. Rate limiting step of the silicon incorporation process

It is known from previous studies [7] that the growth of GaAs by MOCVD at high temperature is limited by the diffusion of TMG through the gas phase. As a consequence gas phase depletion is known to occur, especially for long deposition lengths without a tilt of the susceptor. This depletion phenomenon can be calculated with a rather high precision [7,34]. In this study the depletion of the gallium containing gaseous species from the gas phase is demonstrated by the exponential decrease in growth rate as given in fig. 12a. If the silicon incorporation process also would be dominated by gas phase diffusion, a similar depletion behaviour has to be expected for the Si doping as well. However, when the Si incorporation is corrected for the difference in growth rate and a small difference in growth temperature over the susceptor, there appears to be no depletion at all (fig. 12b). The corrections are based on the observations (i) that the Si incorporation rate is inversely proportional to the GaAs growth rate, which is also reported by other authors [9,35,36], and (ii) that the Si incorporation rate from silane is a strong function of the growth temperature.
The diffusion length of the SiH$_2$ radicals in the hydrogen carrier gas of 1 bar is extremely small, viz. about 30 µm [3], so only those SiH$_2$ molecules that are created very close to the hot susceptor i.e. in the lowest part of the chemical boundary layer can be incorporated. SiH$_2$ molecules that are created away from the susceptor will react with H$_2$ and form SiH$_4$ again. This phenomenon explains the nearly constant Si concentration in the bulk gas phase and the absence of depletion. So for the doping of GaAs with Si using silane, the decomposition of SiH$_4$ into SiH$_2$ very close to the surface followed by diffusion of SiH$_2$ towards the crystal surface is the rate limiting step for the incorporation process, although the growth process itself is diffusion limited.

4. Conclusion

Doping studies have been carried out as a function of silane input fraction over the entire dopant range of silicon in GaAs. It is found that up to a carrier concentration of $3 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$, the silicon incorporation varies linearly with the silane input concentration. The efficiency of the incorporation increases in the order (100)$_{(110)} > (111)_{Ga} > (110)$. This trend can be explained by the relative bond strengths between SiH$_2$ species and the various oriented surfaces, i.e. the difference in desorption energy. This implies that a certain degree of dopant adsorption–desorption equilibrium is present at these surfaces. For the (110) face in addition the size effect of the SiH$_2$ molecules has to be taken into account.

All the Si-doped layers grown are found to be compensated. For the (100)$_{(110)}$ and (111)Ga orientations, the compensation ratio has a value of about 0.3 for doping up to $3 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$, and increases above this value to 0.7. The (110) orientation always shows a higher compensation ratio.

For carrier concentrations larger than $3 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$ ($P_{SiH} > 10^{-6}$), precipitates in the crystal are formed due to Si nucleation in the matrix. This is in agreement with equilibrium calculations of the system Si–Ga–As–H–C.

The rate limiting step for the Si incorporation is concluded to be the decomposition of SiH$_4$ into SiH$_2$.
SiH₂ and H₂ followed by diffusion of SiH₂ to the surface. This process happens very close to the hot substrate because of the very small free diffusion length of the SiH₂ radical in 1 bar H₂.
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