Measurement of the ratio of three-jet to two-jet cross sections in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV
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We present a measurement of the ratio of multijet cross sections in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV at the Fermilab Tevatron Collider. The measurement is based on a data set corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 0.7 fb$^{-1}$ collected with the D0 detector. The ratio of the inclusive three-jet to two-jet cross sections, $R_{3/2}$, has been measured as a function of the jet transverse momenta. The data are compared to QCD predictions in different approximations. Popular tunes of the PYTHIA event generator do not agree with the data, while SHERPA provides a reasonable description of the data. A perturbative QCD prediction in next-to-leading order in the strong coupling constant, corrected for non-perturbative effects, gives a good description of the data.

PACS numbers: 13.87.Cs, 12.88.Qk

In hadron-hadron collisions, production rates of collimated sprays of hadrons, called jets, with large transverse momenta with respect to the beam axis ($p_T$) are sensitive to both the dynamics of the fundamental interaction and to the partonic structure of the initial-state hadrons. The latter is usually parameterized in parton distribution functions (PDFs) of the hadrons. We observed large sensitivities to PDFs in our measurement of the differential three-jet cross section as a function of the three-jet invariant mass $R$. Studies dedicated to the dynamics of the interaction are therefore preferably based on quantities which are minimally sensitive to the PDFs. Such quantities can be constructed as ratios of cross sections, for which the sensitivity to the PDFs is reduced. One class of such quantities is the ratio of multijet cross sections. The two-jet $R_2$, three-jet $R_3$, and four-jet $R_4$ cross sections have been computed in perturbative Quantum Chromodynamics (pQCD) up to next-to-leading order (NLO) in the strong coupling constant $\alpha_s$. The ratio of the inclusive three-jet cross section to the inclusive two-jet cross section, $R_{3/2}$, provides a test of the corresponding NLO pQCD predictions. Previous measurements of $R_{3/2}$ in processes with initial state hadrons, have been made in $ep$ collisions at the HERA Collider at DESY [3,4] and in hadron-hadron collisions at the SPS Collider at CERN [5,6], the Fermilab Tevatron Collider [7,8], and at the Large Hadron Collider at CERN [9,10].

This letter presents the first measurement of $R_{3/2}$ in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at a center-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV. The results are presented as a function of the highest jet $p_T$ in the event, $p_T^{\text{max}}$, for four minimum values of the second highest and (for three-jet events) third highest jet $p_T$, $p_T^{\text{min}}$. The data sample, collected with the D0 detector during 2004–2005 in Run II of the Fermilab Tevatron Collider, corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 0.7 fb$^{-1}$.

Jets are defined by the Run II midpoint cone jet algorithm [10] with a cone radius of $R_{\text{cone}} = 0.7$. Rapidity is related to the polar scattering angle $\theta$ with respect to the beam axis.
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beam axis by $y = 0.5 \ln \left( [1 + \beta \cos \theta] / [1 - \beta \cos \theta] \right)$, with $\beta = |\vec{p}| / E$. The inclusive $n$-jet event sample (for $n = 2, 3$) is defined by all events in which the $n$ highest $p_T$ jets have $p_T > p_T^{\text{min}}$ and $|y| < 2.4$. The separations in the plane of rapidity and azimuthal angle $\phi$, $R_{jj} = \sqrt{(\Delta y)^2 + (\Delta \phi)^2}$ between the $n$ highest $p_T$ jets are required to be larger than twice the cone radius ($R_{jj} > 2R_{\text{cone}}$). The rapidity requirement restricts the jet phase space to the region where jets are well-reconstructed in the D0 detector and the energy calibration is known to 1.2–2.5% for jets with $50 < p_T < 500$ GeV. The separation requirement strongly reduces the phase space for which the $n$ highest $p_T$ jets had overlapping cones which were split during the overlap treatment of the jet algorithm.

The ratio of inclusive three-jet to two-jet cross sections,

$$R_{3/2}(p_T^{\text{max}}, p_T^{\text{min}}) = \frac{d\sigma_{3\text{-jet}}(p_T^{\text{min}})/dp_T^{\text{max}}}{d\sigma_{2\text{-jet}}(p_T^{\text{min}})/dp_T^{\text{max}}}$$

is less sensitive to experimental and theoretical uncertainties than the individual cross sections due to cancellations of correlated uncertainties. Here $R_{3/2}(p_T^{\text{max}}, p_T^{\text{min}})$ is measured for $p_T^{\text{min}}$ requirements of 30, 50, 70, and 90 GeV in bins of $p_T^{\text{max}}$, in the interval $80$ GeV $< p_T^{\text{max}} < 500$ GeV, with the additional requirement of $p_T^{\text{max}} > p_T^{\text{min}} + 30$ GeV. This additional requirement ensures that there is sufficient phase space for the second and third jet so that corrections due to the experimental $p_T$ resolution remain small. Given the definitions above for the inclusive $n$-jet event samples, at each $p_T^{\text{max}}$ value the inclusive three-jet event sample is a subset of the inclusive two-jet event sample. Therefore $R_{3/2}(p_T^{\text{max}}, p_T^{\text{min}})$ represents the conditional probability for a two-jet event (at $p_T^{\text{max}}$) to contain a third jet with $p_T > p_T^{\text{min}}$.

A detailed description of the D0 detector can be found in Ref. [11]. The event selection, jet reconstruction, jet energy and momentum correction in this measurement follow closely those used in our recent measurements of inclusive jet, two-jet, and three-jet distributions [12–16]. The primary tool for jet detection is the finely segmented uranium-liquid-argon calorimeter that has almost complete solid angle coverage $1.7^\circ \lesssim \theta \lesssim 178.3^\circ$ [11]. Events are triggered by a single high $p_T$ jet above a particular threshold. In each $p_T^{\text{max}}$ bin, events are taken from a single trigger which is chosen such that the trigger efficiency is above 99% for two-jet and for three-jet events. Using triggers with different prescale values results in integrated luminosities of $1.54$ pb$^{-1}$ for $p_T^{\text{max}} < 120$ GeV, $17$ pb$^{-1}$ for $120 < p_T^{\text{max}} < 140$ GeV, $73$ pb$^{-1}$ for $140 < p_T^{\text{max}} < 175$ GeV, $0.5$ fb$^{-1}$ for $175 < p_T^{\text{max}} < 220$ GeV, and $0.7$ fb$^{-1}$ for $p_T^{\text{max}} > 220$ GeV.

The position of the $p\bar{p}$ interaction, reconstructed using a tracking system consisting of silicon microstrip detectors [17] and scintillating fiber tracker located inside a 2 T solenoidal magnet [11], is required to be within 50 cm of the detector center along the beam direction. The jet four-momenta are corrected for the response of the calorimeter, the net energy flow through the jet cone, energy from event pile-up and multiple $p\bar{p}$ interactions, and for systematic shifts in rapidity due to detector effects [13]. Cosmic ray backgrounds are suppressed by requirements on the missing transverse momentum in an event [13]. Requirements on characteristics of the shower shape are used to suppress the remaining background due to electrons, photons, and detector noise that mimic jets. The efficiency for these requirements is above 97.5%, and the fraction of background events is below 0.1% at all $p_T^{\text{max}}$.

The jet four-momenta reconstructed from calorimeter energy depositions are then corrected, on average, for the response of the calorimeter, the net energy flow through the jet cone, additional energy from previous beam crossings, and multiple $p\bar{p}$ interactions in the same event, but not for muons and neutrinos [12, 13]. The absolute energy calibration is determined from $Z \to e^+e^-$ events and the $p_T$ imbalance in $\gamma +$ jet events in the region $|y| < 0.4$. The extension to larger rapidities is derived from dijet events using a similar data-driven method. In addition, corrections in the range 2–4% are applied that take into account the difference in calorimeter response due to the difference in the fractional contributions of quark and gluon-initiated jets in the dijet and the $\gamma +$ jet event samples. These corrections are determined using jets simulated with the PYTHIA event generator [18] that have been passed through a GEANT-based detector simulation [19]. The total corrections of the jet four-momenta vary between 50% and 20% for jet $p_T$ between 50 and 400 GeV. An additional correction is applied for systematic shifts in $|y|$ due to detector effects [12, 13]. These corrections adjust the reconstructed jet energy to the energy of the stable particles that enter the calorimeter except for muons and neutrinos.

The $R_{3/2}$ distributions are corrected for instrumental effects using a simulation of the D0 detector response based on parameterizations of resolution effects in $p_T$, the polar and azimuthal angles of jets, and jet reconstruction efficiencies. The parameterizations are determined either from data or from a detailed simulation of the D0 detector using GEANT. The parameterized simulation uses events generated with SHERPA1.3 [20] (including the tree-level matrix elements for two-jet, three-jet, and four-jet production) using default settings and MSTW2008LO PDFs [21], and a sample of events, generated with PYTHIA6.419 using tune QW [22] and CTEQ6.6 PDFs [23]. The events are subjected to the detector simulation and are reweighted such that their simulated distributions describe the differential two-jet and three-jet cross sections in the $p_T$ and rapidity of each of the three highest $p_T$ jets in the data. To minimize migrations between $p_T^{\text{max}}$ bins due to resolution effects, we use the simulation to obtain a rescaling function in $p_T^{\text{max}}$ that optimizes the correlation between the
reconstructed and true values. The rescaling function is applied to data and simulation. The bin sizes in $p_{T_{\text{max}}}$ are chosen to be much larger than the $p_T$ resolution. The bin purity after $p_{T_{\text{max}}}$ rescaling, defined as the fraction of all reconstructed events that are generated in the same bin, is above 50% for the two-jet and above 45% for the three-jet event samples. Bin efficiencies, defined as the fraction of all generated events that are reconstructed in the same bin, are above 55% for the two-jet and above 45% for the three-jet event samples.

We use the simulation to determine correction factors for the differential two-jet and three-jet cross sections in all $p_{T_{\text{max}}}$ bins, taking the average of SHERPA and PYTHIA. These include corrections for all instrumental effects, including the energies of unreconstructed muons and neutrinos inside the jets. The total correction factors for the differential cross sections are between 0.92 and 1.0 for the two-jet and in the range 0.98–1.1 for the three-jet event samples. The correction factors for the ratio $R_{3/2}$ are in the range 0.9–1.2. Over most of the range, the corrections from the two models agree within 3%. We take half the difference as an estimate of the model dependence of the correction, taking into account the correlations between the uncertainties for the two sets of correction factors. The corrected data are presented at the “particle level” (jets formed from stable particles after fragmentation) as defined in Ref. 24.

The $R_{3/2}$ measurement results are listed in Table 1 and Ref. 25, and are displayed in Fig. 1 as a function of $p_{T_{\text{max}}}$ for different $p_{T_{\text{min}}}$ requirements. The ratio $R_{3/2}$ increases with increasing $p_{T_{\text{max}}}$ up to a maximum value and decreases for higher $p_{T_{\text{max}}}$ values. The position and the height of the maximum depend on the $p_{T_{\text{min}}}$ requirement (for the $p_{T_{\text{min}}}$ choices in this analysis, the maximum appears at $p_{T_{\text{max}}}$ values in the range 200–300 GeV). For a given value of $p_{T_{\text{max}}}$, three-jet final states have on average larger invariant masses than two-jet final states. Therefore the three-jet cross section approaches the kinematic limit at lower $p_{T_{\text{max}}}$ than the two-jet cross section, resulting in the decrease of $R_{3/2}$ at large $p_{T_{\text{max}}}$. The initial increase of $R_{3/2}$ with $p_{T_{\text{max}}}$ reflects the increasing phase space for three-jet final states, for a given $p_{T_{\text{min}}}$ requirement. For higher $p_{T_{\text{min}}}$ requirements, the initial increase of $R_{3/2}$ occurs at higher $p_{T_{\text{max}}}$ values, thereby shifting the position of the maximum.

Theoretical calculations for $R_{3/2}$ are computed as the product of NLO pQCD results and correction factors for non-perturbative effects. Predictions of NLO pQCD are obtained from NLOJET++ 22, using FASTNLO 27. Jets are reconstructed using the FastJet 28 implementation of the D0 Run II midpoint cone jet algorithm. We use the two-loop approximation of the renormalization group equation for five quark flavors with $\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.1180$ which is close to the world average value of 0.1184 29. Results are computed using the MSTW2008NLO 30, the CT10 31, and the NNPDF2.1 32 PDF sets. For consistency, we always use those PDFs which have been obtained for $\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.1180$. The renormalization and factorization scales $\mu_R$ and $\mu_F$ are set to $\mu_0 = p_{T_{\text{max}}}$. The scale uncertainties are computed by varying $\mu_R$ and $\mu_F$ independently between $\mu_0/2$ and $2\mu_0$ with the restriction that $0.5 < \mu_R/\mu_F < 2.0$. The uncertainties of the pQCD predictions due to the scale dependence are be-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$p_{T_{\text{max}}}$ (GeV)</th>
<th>$p_{T_{\text{min}}}$ (GeV)</th>
<th>$R_{3/2}$</th>
<th>Stat. uncert. (percent)</th>
<th>Syst. uncert. (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>80–100</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.816 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>±0.7</td>
<td>+5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100–120</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.182 × 10^{-1}</td>
<td>±0.6</td>
<td>+4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120–140</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.370 × 10^{-1}</td>
<td>±0.5</td>
<td>+3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140–165</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.442 × 10^{-1}</td>
<td>±0.6</td>
<td>+3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165–190</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.464 × 10^{-1}</td>
<td>±1.0</td>
<td>+3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190–220</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.421 × 10^{-1}</td>
<td>±0.6</td>
<td>+3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220–250</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.362 × 10^{-1}</td>
<td>±0.9</td>
<td>+3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250–285</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.228 × 10^{-1}</td>
<td>±1.4</td>
<td>+3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>285–320</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.021 × 10^{-1}</td>
<td>±2.7</td>
<td>+3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320–360</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.925 × 10^{-1}</td>
<td>±4.6</td>
<td>+3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360–400</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.688 × 10^{-1}</td>
<td>±9.1</td>
<td>+4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400–500</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.814 × 10^{-1}</td>
<td>±13.4</td>
<td>+4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80–100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3.116 × 10^{-2}</td>
<td>±1.5</td>
<td>+5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100–120</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6.796 × 10^{-2}</td>
<td>±1.6</td>
<td>+5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120–140</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.059 × 10^{-1}</td>
<td>±1.4</td>
<td>+4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320–360</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.217 × 10^{-1}</td>
<td>±6.2</td>
<td>+3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360–400</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.071 × 10^{-1}</td>
<td>±12.2</td>
<td>+3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400–500</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>9.105 × 10^{-2}</td>
<td>±20.4</td>
<td>+4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140–165</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.161 × 10^{-2}</td>
<td>±2.2</td>
<td>+4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165–190</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.699 × 10^{-2}</td>
<td>±1.3</td>
<td>+4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190–220</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>4.849 × 10^{-2}</td>
<td>±1.7</td>
<td>+4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220–250</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>7.254 × 10^{-2}</td>
<td>±2.2</td>
<td>+4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250–285</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>9.401 × 10^{-2}</td>
<td>±1.6</td>
<td>+3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>285–320</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>9.125 × 10^{-2}</td>
<td>±2.5</td>
<td>+3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320–360</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>7.852 × 10^{-2}</td>
<td>±7.9</td>
<td>+3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360–400</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>7.555 × 10^{-2}</td>
<td>±14.9</td>
<td>+4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400–500</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>5.959 × 10^{-2}</td>
<td>±26.0</td>
<td>+5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120–140</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>5.775 × 10^{-3}</td>
<td>±2.9</td>
<td>+4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140–165</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1.281 × 10^{-2}</td>
<td>±2.8</td>
<td>+4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165–190</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>2.564 × 10^{-2}</td>
<td>±3.5</td>
<td>+4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190–220</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>4.435 × 10^{-2}</td>
<td>±1.7</td>
<td>+4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220–250</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>5.744 × 10^{-2}</td>
<td>±2.2</td>
<td>+3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250–285</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>6.122 × 10^{-2}</td>
<td>±3.2</td>
<td>+3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>285–320</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>6.002 × 10^{-2}</td>
<td>±5.6</td>
<td>+3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320–360</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>5.482 × 10^{-2}</td>
<td>±9.7</td>
<td>+3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360–400</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>5.685 × 10^{-2}</td>
<td>±17.5</td>
<td>+4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400–500</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>4.327 × 10^{-2}</td>
<td>±31.0</td>
<td>+5.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The measured $R_{3/2}$ results, compared to the predictions from NLO pQCD corrected for non-perturbative effects (top), and the ratio of data to theoretical predictions (bottom). The results are presented as a function of the highest jet $p_T$, $p_{T\text{max}}$, for different $p_{T\text{min}}$ requirements. The inner uncertainty bars represent the statistical uncertainties while the total uncertainty bars represent the quadratic sums of statistical and systematic uncertainties. (N.B. the inner uncertainty bars are within the markers for most data points.)

The non-perturbative correction factors are the products of hadronization and underlying event corrections. Both are estimated using PYTHIA with tunes DW [22] and AMBT1 [33]. Tune DW uses $Q^2$-ordered parton showers and an older underlying event model, while AMBT1 uses $p_T$-ordered parton showers and a newer underlying event model. For each of these tunes, three event samples have been generated: parton shower level without an underlying event, particle level without an underlying event, and particle level with an underlying event. The hadronization corrections are estimated as the ratio of $R_{3/2}$ at the particle level and at the parton level (from the partons at the end of the parton shower). Both are obtained without an underlying event. The underlying event correction is the ratio of the particle level results with and without an underlying event. We use the average of the corrections obtained with tunes DW and AMBT1 as the central choice, and quote half the spread as the uncertainty. The total non-perturbative correction factors are in the range of 0.96–0.99 with uncertainties of less than 1%.

The theoretical predictions for the MSTW2008NLO PDF sets are overlaid on the data in Fig. 1. The results for CT10 and NNPDFv2.1 PDFs (not shown) agree with those obtained for MSTW2008NLO to better than 0.1% for $p_{T\text{max}} < 300$ GeV, and are always better than 0.4%. Figure 1 shows good agreement between the theoretical predictions and data. At the lowest $p_{T\text{min}}$ value, the ratio of data and theory decreases from +8% to −6% with increasing $p_{T\text{max}}$. For $p_{T\text{min}} = 50$ and 70 GeV, the ratio of data to the theoretical predictions is consistent with unity over the entire range of $p_{T\text{max}}$. For $p_{T\text{min}} = 90$ GeV the theoretical predictions are slightly higher than the experimental results, but still consistent within the theoretical uncertainty. The agreement between theory and data is quantified by computing $\chi^2$ values for each choice of $p_{T\text{min}}$. The $\chi^2$ definition takes into account all experimental uncertainties and their correlations as well as uncertainties in the non-perturbative corrections and the PDFs. The $\chi^2$ values are listed in Table II for the different $p_{T\text{min}}$ requirements and for three choices of $\mu_R$ and $\mu_F$. For $p_{T\text{min}} = 30$ GeV, the $\chi^2$ value depends strongly on the scale, and agreement within the expectation of $\chi^2 = N_{\text{data}} \pm \sqrt{2 \cdot N_{\text{data}}}$ (where $N_{\text{data}}$ is the number of data points) is obtained only for the largest scale of $\mu_R F = 2p_{T\text{max}}$. For larger requirements of $p_{T\text{min}} = 50$, 70, and 90 GeV, the theoretical predictions agree with...
the data for all three scales, and the central scale choice of $\mu_{R,F} = p_{T_{\text{max}}}$ always provides the lowest $\chi^2$.

Predictions from different Monte Carlo event generators are compared to the data in Fig. 2. In this Figure, the measured $R_{3/2}$ results and the PYTHIA predictions for different tunes are divided by the predictions from SHERPA which includes the tree-level matrix elements for two-, three-, and four-jet production, matched with a parton shower. The SHERPA predictions for $R_{3/2}$ have a different $p_{T_{\text{max}}}$ dependence and, independent of $p_{T_{\text{min}}}$, they are approximately 20% lower (10% higher) than the data at low (high) $p_{T_{\text{max}}}$.

PYTHIA includes only the two-jet matrix elements and a parton shower. The PYTHIA predictions for the three-jet cross section therefore depend directly on the parton shower model and the corresponding parameter settings (tunes). The PYTHIA results have been obtained for tunes DW, BW, A, AMBT1, S Global, Perugia 2011, Perugia 2011 LO**, and Perugia 2011 Tevatron. The first three tunes use $Q^2$-ordered parton showers and an older underlying event model, while the latter five use $p_T$-ordered parton showers and a newer underlying event model. Tune DW was tuned to describe the DØ measurement of dijet azimuthal correlations [37], and tunes AMBT1, S Global and Perugia 2011 were tuned to LHC data at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV. The predictions for tune Perugia 2011 agree within 1% with those for tunes Perugia 2011 LO** and Perugia 2011 Tevatron (the latter two are not shown in Fig. 2). The predictions for tune A (not shown in Fig. 2) are always above those for tune DW, and the predictions for tune S Global (not shown Fig. 2) are 2–5% higher than those for tune AMBT1. Figure 2 shows that none of the studied PYTHIA tunes describe the data; all predict a different $p_{T_{\text{max}}}$ dependence, and the discrepancies are strongly depending on $p_{T_{\text{min}}}$. While a dedicated study of the sensitivity of the PYTHIA parameters is beyond the scope of this letter, these $R_{3/2}$ data demonstrate the limitations of current PYTHIA tunes and provide strong constraints for future parameter adjustments.

In summary, we have presented the first measurement of the ratio $R_{3/2}$ of three-jet to two-jet cross sections in hadron-hadron collisions at a center of mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV. The ratio $R_{3/2}$ is presented for $p_{T_{\text{min}}}$ requirements of 30, 50, 70, and 90 GeV, as a function of the highest jet $p_T$, $p_{T_{\text{max}}}$, in the range of 80–500 GeV. SHERPA predicts a slightly different $p_{T_{\text{max}}}$ dependence, but it describes the data within approximately −10% to +20%. None of the PYTHIA tunes DW, BW, A, AMBT1, S Global, and Perugia 2011 describe the data. The data are well described by the pQCD predictions at the next-to-leading order in the strong coupling constant $\alpha_s$, corrected for non-perturbative effects.
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