**CUBN** as a Novel Locus for End-Stage Renal Disease: Insights from Renal Transplantation
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Abstract

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a complex disorder. As genome-wide association studies identified cubilin gene **CUBN** as a locus for albuminuria, and urinary protein loss is a risk factor for progressive CKD, we tested the hypothesis that common genetic variants in **CUBN** are associated with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) and proteinuria. First, a total of 1142 patients with ESRD, admitted for renal transplantation, and 1186 donors were genotyped for SNPs rs7918972 and rs1801239 (case-control study). The rs7918972 minor allele frequency (MAF) was higher in ESRD patients comparing to kidney donors, implicating an increased risk for ESRD (OR 1.39, \( p = 0.0004 \)) in native kidneys. Second, after transplantation recipients were followed for 5.8 [3.8–9.2] years (longitudinal study) documenting ESRD in transplanted kidneys – graft failure (GF). During post-transplant follow-up 92 (9.6%) cases of death-censored GF occurred. Donor rs7918972 MAF, representing genotype of the transplanted kidney, was 16.3% in GF vs 10.7% in cases with functioning graft. Consistently, a multivariate Cox regression analysis showed that donor rs7918972 is a predictor of GF, although statistical significance was not reached (HR \( p = 0.055 \)). There was no association of recipient rs7918972 with GF. Rs1801239 was not associated with ESRD or GF. In line with an association with the outcome, donor rs7918972 was associated with elevated proteinuria levels cross-sectionally at 1 year after transplantation. Thus, we identified **CUBN** rs7918972 as a novel risk variant for renal function loss in two independent settings: ESRD in native kidneys and GF in transplanted kidneys.

---

Introduction

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a complex multifactorial disorder with an important genetic component [1–3]. A recent genome-wide association study (GWAS) identified the cubilin gene **CUBN** as a locus for albuminuria: a missense single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) rs1801239 (Ile2984Val) in this gene was associated with elevated urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio and microalbuminuria in both the general population and in diabetic patients [4].

As albuminuria is a risk factor for progression of CKD up to end stage renal disease (ESRD) [5], we hypothesized that genetic variation in **CUBN** is associated with development of ESRD. To test this hypothesis we genotyped patients with ESRD, admitted for renal transplantation, with their donors as a control population, for SNPs in the **CUBN** locus and followed the recipients after transplantation documenting clinical parameters and occurrence of graft failure (GF).

Two **CUBN** SNPs were genotyped in our study: the previously published rs1801239 and a tagSNP rs7918972. The latter was selected based on its linkage disequilibrium with 9 other SNPs thus covering more variability in the locus and taking into account that one of the linked polymorphisms is a coding missense variant which might potentially be functional. Another selection criterion was the minor allele frequency (MAF); we targeted a lower part of the common variability range, with MAFs between 10 and 15%.

Within this cohort we performed essentially two independent analyses: 1) ESRD patients admitted for renal transplantation versus kidney donors (extreme case-control study) – to test for association with ESRD in native kidneys; and 2) long-term post-transplant follow-up for GF in the recipients (longitudinal study) – for association with ESRD in the transplanted kidney.

We also tested association of the **CUBN** SNPs with 24-h total urinary protein excretion as an intermediate phenotype.
Materials and Methods

Study population

From all renal transplantsations carried out in our center between 1993 and 2008 we retrospectively selected 1142 first graft recipients and 1186 donors for the present genetic study. The exclusion criteria were: cases of re-transplantation, combined kidney/pancreas or kidney/liver transplantation, technical problems, absence of DNA and loss of follow-up. A flowchart of the study participants selection is shown in the Figure 1. After transplantation the recipients were followed up and immunosuppression regimen, clinical and laboratory parameters, and time to GF were documented. GF was defined as return to dialysis or re-transplantation and was censored for death with a functioning graft. Cases with post-transplant graft survival <1 year were excluded from the analyses, to decrease heterogeneity in the sample, as graft loss <1 year is to an important extent due to acute complications, such as technical surgical problems, delayed graft function and/or acute rejection episodes, whereas we wanted to focus on the process of chronic transplant dysfunction. Donor and recipient characteristics, transplantation-related parameters and clinical data (24 h urinary protein excretion, blood pressure, renal function) were retrieved from medical records. The Institutional Review Board of the University Medical Center Groningen approved the study protocol. Written informed consent was given by all recipients and living donors. For deceased donors, with research carried out after the organ removal and implantation, no consent was required. According to Dutch law general consent for organ donation and transplantation includes consent for research projects. The study was conducted according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. All the genetic and clinical data were anonymized prior to analyses.

DNA isolation, tagSNP selection and genotyping

DNA was extracted from peripheral whole blood (in recipients and living donors) or lymph nodes/spleen lymphocytes (in deceased donors) using a commercial kit following the manufacturer’s instructions, transferred into 2 ml Eppendorf tubes and stored at −20°C. Absorbance at 260 nm was measured with NanoDrop spectrophotometer (ND-1000, NanoDrop Technologies) and DNA concentration was calculated by the NanoDrop nucleic acid application module. As a measure of DNA purity 260/280 and 260/230 absorbance ratios were assessed. Where samples failed to meet the minimum DNA concentration and purity recommended for Illumina genotyping, repeated isolation attempts were made.

Two SNPs in the CUBN locus were genotyped: missense (Ile2984Val) rs7918972 and rs7918972. The latter is a tagSNP in the CUBN intron, which was selected using Genome Variation Server v5.11 (Seattle SNPs Program for Genomic Applications). This program utilizes the LDSelect algorithm [6]. All the SNPs within the CUBN gene including 500 bases at the gene flanking regions were submitted to the selection procedure. The following parameter settings were used: HapMap-CEU population (unrelated only, no HapMap 3), monomorphic sites excluded, r² threshold 0.8, minimal genotype coverage for tagSNPs 85%. Further, for our study we considered SNPs with MAFs 10–15%, tagging as many other variants as possible including the missense ones. Rationale for the arbitrary MAF cut-off was based on the general expectation that rarer variants have a slightly higher likelihood to be causal and may confer stronger effects. At the same time, as power to detect such effects depends on sample size, we were constrained by the moderate sample size of our study. That is why we set the cut-off in the range of 10–15%. Using these settings, the SNP rs7918972 was the best tagSNP meeting all our criteria (minimal MAF — 10%, maximal number of the tagged SNPs ~ 9, tagging a missense variant) and therefore was ultimately chosen for this study. This SNP is in strong linkage disequilibrium with intronic SNPs rs4080454, rs7897625, rs7897716, rs7899076, rs11254292, rs11254230, rs7897442, rs7898053 and missense (Asn3552Lys) rs1801232, all of which map to the CUBN locus. The LD structure of the studied CUBN SNPs is shown in the Supplemental Figure S1.

Genotyping of the selected SNPs was performed using the Illumina VeraCode GoldenGate assay kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Genotype clustering and calling were performed using BeadStudio Software (Illumina). In five individuals genotyping was unsuccessful.

Statistical analysis

Analyses were performed with PASW Statistics 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) and PLINK v1.07 (S. Purcell, http://pngu.mgh.harvard.edu/purcell/plink/) [7]. QUANTO v1.2.4 (http://hydra.usc.edu/gxe/) and PASS v1.1 were used for power estimation. PolyPhen2 [8] was used to predict functional consequences of the missense SNP. The studied CUBN SNPs LD structure plot was generated with SNAP v2.2 [9].

As a routine data quality control, alleles frequencies, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium and case/control differential missingness were tested for. Subsequent statistical analyses were performed on a final sample of 2323 subjects in a case-control design (1141 recipients vs 1182 donors) and 962 renal transplant recipients in a longitudinal design. With two-sided p = 0.05, assuming an additive genetic model and MAF of 10–15%, we had 57% and 99% power to detect an OR of 1.2 and 1.4, respectively, in the ESRD case-control analysis, and 43% and 87% power to detect a HR of 1.5 and 2.0, respectively, in the Cox regression analysis of graft survival.

Genotype-phenotype associations were tested under an additive genetic model and results (regression coefficients and p-values) are reported per copy of the minor allele.

In the case-control analysis, the PLINK DFAM algorithm was used to account for donor-recipient relatedness within living-donor transplantation cases. Interaction between the SNPs was tested with the PLINK –epistasis function which includes the interaction term and the marginal effects of the SNPs into the interaction model. Subsequently, stratified logistic regression analyses were performed for each of the three groups of minor allele carriers of both SNPs using the group of non-carriers as the reference.

For the longitudinal study we included cases with post-transplant graft survival ≥1 year. The effect of SNPs on graft survival was investigated with Kaplan-Meier and Cox regression analyses including known predictors of GF (donor and recipient age and sex, donor type, cold and warm ischemia times, immunosuppressive therapy).

Association between genotypes and 24 h urinary protein excretion was studied cross-sectionally at 1 year after transplantation assuming stable graft function at this time-point. As proteinuria was considered a left-censored phenotype with 0 values in 24.4% of patients (due to the diagnostic assay detection limit and rounding of routinely reported values), it was analyzed with Tobit regression [10,11], both univariately and including relevant covariates (age, sex, systolic and diastolic blood pressure).

Results

Main patients characteristics are presented in Table 1. The overall minor allele frequency was 13.1% for rs7918972 and 12.5% for rs1801239. There was no deviation from Hardy-
Weinberg equilibrium in controls (\(p = 0.2908\) for rs7918972; \(p = 0.4126\) for rs1801239). The missing genotypic data fraction was not different between cases and controls (\(p = 1.000\) and \(p = 0.625\) for rs7918972 and rs1801239, respectively). There was no linkage disequilibrium between rs7918972 and rs1801239 (\(r^2 = 0.002, D' = 0.059\)). The missense rs1801232 (Asn3552Lys), tagged by rs7918972, was predicted to be benign by PolyPhen2: score 0.011; sensitivity 0.96; specificity 0.72.

Case-control study: ESRD patients vs kidney donors

The minor allele frequency (MAF) for rs7918972 was significantly higher in ESRD patients as compared to kidney donors, implicating an increased risk of ESRD: OR [95% CI] 1.39 [1.16–1.65], \(p = 0.0004\), in an additive model adjusted for age, sex and case-control relatedness (Table 2); additional adjustment for diabetes status did not change the results. There was no association between rs7918972 genotype and any of the primary diseases (etiologies of ESRD). The MAF for rs7918972 was not different between living and deceased donors and in the latter it was not significantly associated with the cause of death (mortality due to cerebro- or cardiovascular accident vs other reasons).

Genotype of rs1801239 was not associated with case/control status or any of the other traits studied.

The effects of the two SNPs were not independent as a case-control test for epistasis revealed an interaction between them (\(p = 5.6 \times 10^{-10}\)). A finer analysis showed that the rs7918972 minor allele requires a copy of the rs1801239 minor allele to express its risk phenotype (OR 3.15 [2.21–4.48], \(p = 1.8 \times 10^{-10}\)), whereas the minor allele of rs1801239 displays protective effect in the absence of rs7918972 minor allele (OR 0.65 [0.52–0.81], \(p = 1.7 \times 10^{-4}\)) (Table 3).

Longitudinal study: post-transplant follow-up

A total of 92 (9.6%) cases of death-censored GF occurred and 151 (15.8%) patients died with a functioning graft during a median [IQR] of 5.8 [3.8–9.5] years of follow-up. Donor MAF, representing genotype of the transplanted kidney, was higher in subjects that suffered death-censored GF as compared to cases with a functioning graft (16.3% vs 10.7%, respectively). Kaplan-Meier survival analysis revealed worse graft survival (\(p = 0.067\)) for the carriers of the minor allele (Figure 2). Consistently, a multivariate Cox regression analysis showed that donor kidney rs7918972 is a predictor of GF yielding a HR of 1.53.
Table 1. Main patients and transplantation-related characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ESRD patients, n = 1141</th>
<th>Kidney donors, n = 1182</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>48.2 ± 13.5</td>
<td>44.5 ± 14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex: male, n (%)</td>
<td>662 (58)</td>
<td>603 (51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living donors, n (%)</td>
<td>282 (23.9)</td>
<td>282 (23.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- from which related donors, n (%)</td>
<td>164 (58.2)</td>
<td>164 (58.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Transplantation, n = 962 renal transplant recipients

- Cold ischemia time, minutes 1140 [869–1428]
- Total warm ischemia time, minutes 40 [34–50]
- Follow-up duration, years 5.8 [3.8–9.5]
- Measured GFR at 1 year post-transplant, ml/min 54.8 ± 19.2
- Total proteinuria at 1 year post-transplant, g/24 h 0.20 [0.05–0.40]
- Acute rejection episodes history, n (%) 324 (33.7)
- Graft failure, n (%) 92 (9.6)
- Death with a functioning graft, n (%) 152 (15.8)

Continuous normally distributed variables are presented as mean ± SD, non-normally distributed – as median [IQR].

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0036512.t001

Table 2. CUBN SNPs in the case-control study of ESRD patients versus kidney donors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CUBN SNPs</th>
<th>ESRD patients, n = 1141</th>
<th>Kidney donors, n = 1182</th>
<th>OR [95% CI] per copy of the minor allele*</th>
<th>p value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rs7918972</td>
<td>Genotypes, count 21/301/819</td>
<td>12/246/924</td>
<td>1.39 [1.16–1.65]</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAF, %</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs1801239</td>
<td>Genotypes, count 8/276/857</td>
<td>14/266/902</td>
<td>1.04 [0.87–1.24]</td>
<td>0.6866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAF, %</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

*Logistic regression model adjusted for age and sex, with adjustment for case-control relatedness (DFAM algorithm).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0036512.t002

We found donor rs7918972 to be associated with proteinuria levels cross-sectionally at 1 year of post-transplant follow-up (beta 0.201, p = 0.015) [Table 5]. No association between the SNPs and renal function by measured GFR or creatinine clearance was observed at the same time-point; however, donor rs7918972 showed a directionally consistent, although not statistically significant, trend for association with an increased rate of GFR decline (data not shown).

Discussion

In the present study we followed up the results of a recent GWAS, which identified the cubilin gene CUBN as a locus for albuminuria [4]. As albuminuria is an established risk factor for progressive renal function loss, the GWAS findings raised the hypothesis that genetic variation in the CUBN locus could be associated with progressive renal function loss and finally end stage renal disease. To test this hypothesis we studied the cited top SNP as well as a tagSNP in CUBN in relation to final renal clinical outcomes, namely ESRD in native kidneys and GF in the transplanted kidney.

In a case-control design we studied rs7918972 and rs1801239 genotypes in ESRD patients versus kidney donors. The MAF for rs7918972 was significantly higher in ESRD patients as compared to kidney donors, imposing a 39% increased risk for ESRD per copy of the minor allele. Follow-up data after transplantation showed direction-consistent trend for an association between donor kidney rs7918972 and development of GF in recipients. Thus, the SNP in CUBN locus was associated with susceptibility to develop ESRD in two settings, namely ESRD in native kidneys and GF in transplanted kidneys.

Transplantation represents a unique setting, also from genetic point view: an organ with its own genotype functions in an organism with another genotype. We tested both donor and recipient genotype for association with the renal outcome to investigate whether it is the kidney genotype that determines its own fate or it is the recipient genotype that influences function and survival of the transplanted organ. This unique design is useful for genetic research in nephrology as it enables discrimination between the renal and extra-renal mechanisms [12].

In our study, it was donor rather than recipient CUBN genotype that was associated with GF, suggesting involvement of local, intra-renal pathways in processes of transplanted kidney survival which are independent of systemic influences.

Albuminuria is known as a predictor of cardiovascular and non-cardiovascular mortality [13]. However, in our study CUBN genotypes did not associate with cerebro- or cardiovascular accident as a cause of death in donors and cardiovascular and all-cause mortality after transplantation in recipients.
As no albuminuria data were available and urinary albumin levels are known to correlate with total protein, we tested association of the \textit{CUBN} SNPs with 24-h total urinary protein excretion as a surrogate phenotype. Interestingly, we found donor rs7918972 to be associated with elevated proteinuria levels cross-sectionally at 1 year after transplantation. This is consistent with our results of association with the outcome, and also in line with the results of a recent study which revealed, using exome sequencing, a deleterious mutation in \textit{CUBN} in a family of proteinuric patients, thus confirming the \textit{CUBN} gene involvement in proteinuria [14].

In the original GWAS [4] the \textit{CUBN} SNP rs1801239, associated with elevated urinary albumine-to-creatinine ratio and microalbuminuria. However, this SNP was not associated with CKD or estimated GFR. In agreement with this, our case-control study showed no association between this SNP and ESRD. Also, rs1801239 was not associated with GF in our longitudinal study.
Table 4. CUBN SNPs in the longitudinal study with follow-up for graft failure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>rs7918972</th>
<th>rs1801239</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Donor</td>
<td>8/17/49</td>
<td>11/20/155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAE, %</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genotypes, count</td>
<td>2/75/75</td>
<td>3/22/67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recipient</td>
<td>11/24/21</td>
<td>18/32/46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAE, %</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genotypes, count</td>
<td>10/0/10</td>
<td>5/20/72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HR [95% CI] per copy of the minor allele

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>rs7918972</th>
<th>rs1801239</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Donor</td>
<td>1.53 [0.99–2.26]</td>
<td>0.80 [0.49–1.30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recipient</td>
<td>0.84 [0.42–1.68]</td>
<td>0.78 [0.48–1.31]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Univariable Cox regression model adjusted for donor and recipient age and sex, donor type (living or deceased), ischemia times, immunosup pressive medication use, and history of acute rejection episodes.

Our longitudinal study of graft failure may have been underpowered to detect a significant SNP effect. Insufficient power might thus be an explanation of the fact that convincing statistical significance was not reached in the graft survival analysis for association with rs7918972. Studies in larger populations are warranted to confirm an association between the CUBN SNP and GF.
**Table 5. CUBN SNPs association with urinary total protein excretion cross-sectionally at 1 year after transplantation.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>SNP</th>
<th>Univariate Tobit regression</th>
<th>Multivariate Tobit regressiona</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Coefficient</td>
<td>SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donor</td>
<td>rs7918972</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>0.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rs1801239</td>
<td>-0.039</td>
<td>0.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recipient</td>
<td>rs7918972</td>
<td>-0.072</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rs1801239</td>
<td>-0.028</td>
<td>0.081</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aModel adjusted for donor and recipient age and sex, donor type (living or deceased), systolic and diastolic blood pressure. Coefficients are given per copy of the minor allele.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0036512.t005

**Conclusion**

Our study confirms association of the CUBN with renal phenotypes of progressive renal function loss and urine protein loss. We first identified CUBN SNP rs7918972 as a novel genetic variant of susceptibility for ESRD in a case-control design. In a separate proof-of-principle longitudinal study, which served as an internal replication, we reproduced the association. Thus, rs7918972 was associated with susceptibility to develop progressive renal function loss in two settings, namely ESRD in native kidneys and GF in transplanted kidneys. It was kidney genotype that associated with increased risk, supporting impact of intra-renal and systemic extra-renal pathways on organ damage. Our study set-up – analyzing both donor and recipient genotypes – provides a powerful design for hypothesis-driven studies on risk loci for renal damage enabling differentiation between local, intra-renal, and systemic, extra-renal, influences.
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**Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: AR HS MS GN. Analyzed the data: AR HS GN. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: JvdB MHedB JD MCRFvD HvG BGH J-LH HGDL JN SJLB. Wrote the paper: AR HS GN.

**References**