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Abstract While conventional wisdom assumes that inclement weather on election day reduces voter turnout, there is remarkably little evidence available to support such belief. This paper examines the effects of temperature, sunshine duration and rainfall on voter turnout in 13 Dutch national parliament elections held from 1971 to 2010. It merges the election results from over 400 municipalities with election-day weather data drawn from the nearest weather station. We find that the weather parameters indeed affect voter turnout. Election-day rainfall of roughly 25 mm (1 inch) reduces turnout by a rate of one percent, whereas a 10-degree-Celsius increase in temperature correlates with an increase of almost one percent in overall turnout. One hundred percent sunshine corresponds to a one and a half percent greater voter turnout compared to zero sunshine.
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Introduction

Inclement weather on election day is commonly believed to reduce voter turnout rates (see Knack 1994; Gomez et al. 2007; and references therein). There are generally two reasons given for this popular claim. One is that voters would be less willing to venture to the polling places if they have to deal with rain, cold outside temperature and other uncomfortable weather conditions. Bad weather puts off older people from going out to vote, people who have to bring small children to the polling station, and those reliant on transportation susceptible to weather, such as walking and public transport. Another argument is that there is less political campaigning when the weather is poor and campaigners are unable to reach out to voters and to get by doors.

This supposition of a weather-turnout linkage has received little research attention, however, and the handful of studies that have been conducted produced rather mixed results. While some studies found that rainfall decreases election turnout (Merrifield 1993; Shachar and Nalebuff 1999; Gatrell and Bierly 2002), Knack (1994) suggested that it had no discernable effect. In the most exhaustive test to date, Gomez et al. (2007) studied 14 U.S. presidential elections and concluded that rain reduced voter turnout by about one percent per inch (i.e., 25.4 mm) and snow by almost one half percent (see also Hansford and Gomez 2010). They even argued that had it not been a sunny day in many battleground states on November 8, 1960, Nixon would likely have defeated Kennedy to become the 35th President of the United States.

The present paper continues this work and hypothesizes that inclement election-day weather conditions are associated with depressed voter turnout. To test this conjecture we employ municipality turnout data in all national parliament elections held in the Netherlands following the abolishment of compulsory voting in 1970 and merged them with election day estimates of temperature, sunshine duration and rainfall.

Data and method

The turnout figures for all Dutch municipalities in 13 national parliament elections held from 1971 to 2010 were
obtained from the Dutch Electoral Council. The municipalities were classified according to the 2010 codes issued by Statistics Netherlands. During the period in question the number of municipalities increased from 412 to 425 as a result of land reclamation. Municipality turnout, defined as the percentage of eligible voters in a municipality who actually voted in the election, varied from 56.5 to 100%, with a mean of 83.3% (SD 5.8).

The weather statistics of 17 to 35 meteorological stations and 222 to 235 precipitation stations were obtained from the Royal Dutch Meteorological Institute, with the number of stations trending up over time by the expansion of weather recording. The measurements include election-day mean temperature in degrees Celsius, fraction of maximum possible sunshine duration in percentages, and rainfall amount in millimeters. The temperature and sunshine data were obtained from the nearest meteorological station. The station closest to the municipality was determined with the Haversine formula, rendering the distance between municipality and station from their longitudes and latitudes. The mean distance was 17.7 km (SD 9.8). A comparison of recorded data at neighboring stations indicated that a greater density of weather stations adds little to the accuracy of the local temperature and sunshine data. The daily mean outside temperature ranged from 1.7 to 19.9°C, with a mean of 12.0°C (SD 4.4). The fraction of maximum possible sunshine duration ranged from 0 to 93%, with a mean of 42.3% (SD 29.3). As local variability is more of an issue with precipitation, the amount of rainfall was obtained from the nearest precipitation station. The mean Haversine distance between municipality and precipitation station was 4.4 km (SD 2.3). Local rainfall on election day ranged from 0 to 43.9 mm (mean 2.6, SD 4.0).

Municipality turnout rates exhibit both continuity across elections and uniqueness. Turnout rates for successive elections are strongly related as a result of routing voting and voter abstention. To account for this temporal continuity the model includes the municipality turnout rates in the one, nested within municipality-by-election at level two and municipality at level three. As the parameter estimates of the two models obtained identical effect signs and near equivalent p-values, we opted for the presentation of the results of the linear model as they are easier to interpret.

The data were analyzed using three-level hierarchical models—both linear and logistic—with voters at level one, nested within municipality-by-election at level two and municipality at level three. As the parameter variations across the country in factors that may affect turnout, such as political apathy, religious affiliation and other correlates of political participation. Finally, the municipality voting-age population density was used as demographic control. The variable included in the analysis is the natural logarithm of the number of eligible voters per municipality square kilometer.

Results

The strong effects reported in Table 2 for previous turnout reveal that for many Dutch citizens voting is a routine activity relatively unaffected by temporary political issues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of election</th>
<th>Dates of election</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early</td>
<td>29-11-72, 08-09-82, 06-09-89, 22-01-03, 22-11-06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Dates (dd-mm-yy) of regularly scheduled and early elections for Dutch national parliament, 1971–2010

More important to our study is the finding that the three weather parameters each affect turnout in a significant manner. The coefficients imply that for a 10-degree-Celsius
This study indicates that weather plays a role in Dutch politics in that inclement weather conditions on election day lower Dutch voter turnout rates. This weather-induced depression in turnout is not restricted by season, but generally occurs year-around. It is important to note, however, that the effects of the weather parameters are rather modest in size, with a maximum downturn of approximately 1.5 percent. An explanation for the modest effect sizes may be that the Netherlands has a maritime climate, with cool summers and mild winters, and that we consequently achieve relatively little variability in meteorological measures. Moreover, it may be that the weather effects are larger in less-at-stake elections for city-councils or provincial states, for example, than in more-at-stake national parliament elections. In national parliament elections the amount and quality of campaigning and partisan efforts, television time given to political parties, and media coverage are all typically greater than in the less-at-stake so-called second-order elections. In the former, there is much more attention given by the public (media as well as voters), because the country's entire political establishment is focused on this event. This attention not only drives up voter turnout but it may also suppress the effect of election-day weather.

However this may be, our study suggests that voting innovations that mitigate the impact of weather, such as providing polling stations at work, postal voting and remote voting via the Internet, may be effective means of increasing voter turnout, albeit only by a few percentage points.
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